

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/823,718	04/14/2004	Chad M. Orthaus	71-851-1 5236	
7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven W. Weinrieb			HARTMANN, GARY S	
SCHWARTZ & WEINRIEB Crystal Plaza One, Suite 1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway			3671	
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/823,718	ORTHAUS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Gary Hartmann	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stathan above the maximum of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .						
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 20-27 and 37-58 is/are pend	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>20,21,23-27,37,39-41,43,45</u>	Claim(s) <u>20,21,23-27,37,39-41,43,45-51,53 and 55-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>22,38,42,44,52 and 54</u> is/ard	e objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004	☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	locuments have been received.	tr. ar. At					
<u> </u>	focuments have been received in Ap	· ——					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation</li> </ol>		eceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action		eceived					
222 III Silasiisa asialisa omos action		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s)	Mail Date pmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/4</u>.</li> </ol>	7TO/SB/08) 5) \( \bigcap  Notice of Info						

Application/Control Number: 10/823,718

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not specifically directed to the apparatus of the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Objections

Claim 57 is objected to because of the following informalities: "ap-plying" should be -- applying--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 37, 39 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eigenmann (U.S. Patent 4,792,259). Eigenmann discloses an apparatus for serially dispensing raised pavement markers (A) having upper and lower surface portions. There are adhesive means (see second paragraph of abstract, for example) adapted to be fixedly mounted upon bottom surface portion of the markers. The markers and adhesive means are separably adhered to a single release sheet (B). There are means for causing a leading one of the pavement markers to be separated (see Figure) and the bottom portion of the marker is deposited directly onto a pavement surface (S).

Application/Control Number: 10/823,718

Art Unit: 3671

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 21, 23-27, 40, 41, 43, 45-48, 50, 51, 53 and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eigenmann, as applied above.

It is unclear whether the markers of Eigenmann are disposed in a nested array; however, it appears from the figure that in the rolled up, stored configuration, the markers would be disposed atop one another in a nested array. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used this configuration with Eigenmann in order to minimize storage space, in accordance with the invention of Eigenmann.

Eigenmann is silent regarding the relative widths of the marker and sheet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have prevented the markers from becoming laterally unstable while on the sheet.

Eigenmann does not teach the stripper plate; however, since the markers are secured to the release sheet, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a stripper plate in order to ease separation of the markers from the sheet.

Eigenmann is deemed to meet the recitations regarding the indexably moving an indexable roller.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,718

Art Unit: 3671

A drive motor is inherently connected to the roller of Eigenmann, in order to operate the apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a program logic controller therewith in order to apply the markers in the desired spatial relationship with one another along the roadway.

It is common practice to use an applicator wheel in order to rollingly engage markers; thereby improving attachment with the pavement surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an applicator wheel with Eigenmann for this purpose.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22, 38, 42, 44, 52 and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh